# ORDER SHEET West Bengal Administrative Tribunal

Present.-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag

&

The Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das

#### Case No. <u>OA - 530 of 2014</u>

Sujit Kumar Chatterjee Versus The State of W		est Bengal & Ors.
Serial No. and date of order 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary. 3
<u>17</u> 07/02/2019	For the Applicant : Mr. R.A. Chowdhury, Ld. Advocate.	
	For the State Respondent : NONE.	
	Liberty is given to the applicant to implead	
	Estate Manager, O.S.D. and Ex-Officio Deputy	
	Secretary to the Government of West Bengal,	
	Department of Urban Development, Kalyani as	
	Respondent No. 4 by way of amendment of cause-	
	title of the original application in course of this day.	
	The applicant has prayed for direction upon the	
	respondents for fixation of appropriate salary of the	
	applicant and for refund for the amount deducted	
	from the Gratuity of the applicant along with interest.	
	It appears from the materials on record that the	
	applicant retired from the post of Head Clerk in the	
	establishment of Estate Manager and Ex-Officio	
	Deputy Secretary to the Government of West Bengal,	
	Department of Urban Development, Kalyani on June	
	30, 2003. The contention of the applicant is that the	
	amount of Rs. 82, 046/- was deducted wrongly from	
	the retiring Gratuity of the applicant on the ground of	
	overdrawal of salary due to wrong fixation of pay.	
	The applicant has also prayed for interest on the	

Form No.

## Sujit Kumar Chatterjee

Vs

The State of West Bengal & Others.

.....

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal	Office action with date
date of order	with signature	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1	2 amount deducted from the retiring Gratuity of the	3
	applicant @ 10% per annum.	
	None appears on behalf of the state respondents	
	on call. Mr. Chowdhury, Learned Counsel for the	
	applicant has not pressed for prayer of the applicant	
	for fixation of salary. He fairly submits that the	
	amount recovered from the retiring Gratuity of the	
	applicant may be refunded with interest.	
	The Hon'ble Supreme Court has summarised the	
	following situations in paragraph 18 of the judgment	
	of "State of Punjab v Rafiq Masih" reported in (2015)	
	4 SCC 334, when recovery of excess payment by the	
	state respondents would not be permissible in law :	
	" (i) Recovery from the employees belonging to	
	Class III and Class IV service (or Group C and Group	
	D service);	
	(ii) Recovery from the retired employees, or the	
	employees who are due to retire within one year of	
	the order of recovery;	
	(iii) Recovery from the employees, when the excess	
	payment has been made for a period in excess of 5	
	years, before the order of recovery is issued;	

Page No. 2

### **ORDER SHEET** – (Continuation)

Form No.

Sujit Kumar Chatterjee

Vs

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature of
1		parties when necessary
1	<i>(iv)</i> Recovery in cases where an employee has	3
	wrongfully been required to discharge duties of a	
	higher post, and has been paid accordingly, even	
	though he should have rightfully been required to	
	work against an inferior post;	
	(v) In any other case, where the Court arrives at the	
	conclusion that recovery if made from the employee,	
	would be iniquitous or harsh or arbitrary to such an	
	extent as would far outweigh the equitable balance of	
	the employer's right to recover."	
	We have laid down in the case of "Bireswar	
	Dey v State of West Bengal & Ors." (OA-1045 of 2014	
	decided on August 20, 2018), that state respondents	
	cannot invoke the provisions of Rule 140(2) of the	
	West Bengal Services (Death-cum-Retirement Benefit)	
	Rules, 1971 for recovery of excess payment of pay	
	and allowances from the retiring Gratuity of the	
	Government employee, particularly when the	
	recovery of over payment from retiring gratuity is	
	done after prolonged period from the date on which	
	the said recovery would have been effected and	
	thereby causing hardship to the applicant to such an	
	extent, which will outweigh equitable balance of the	
	right of the Government to recover the same.	
	By following the decision of the Hon'ble	

#### **ORDER SHEET** – (Continuation)

Form No.

Sujit Kumar Chatterjee

Vs

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. <u>OA – 530 of 2014</u>				
Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature of		
1	2	parties when necessary. 3		
I	Supreme Court in the case of "State of Punjab v Rafiq	5		
	Masih (Supra)" and the decision of "Bireswar Dey $v$			
	State of West Bengal & Ors (Supra)" we are of the			
	view that the state respondents are bound to refund			
	the amount of Rs. 82, 046/- to the applicant along			
	with interest. On consideration of the rate of interest			
	paid for G.P.F. or P.P.F. and rate of interest paid by			
	the Nationalised Bank for long term fixed deposit, the			
	applicant is entitled to get interest @ 8% per annum.			
	Since the order for recovery of an amount of Rs. 82,			
	046/- from the Gratuity of the applicant due to			
	overdrawal of pay and allowances was issuedin the			
	month of January 01, 2005 and since the applicant			
	approached the Tribunal praying for refund of the			
	said amount of money by filing the present			
	application on April 28, 2014, we are not inclined to			
	grant any interest to the applicant during the			
	aforesaid period of time. However, the applicant is			
	entitled to get interest from the month of May 01,			
	2014 till the last date of the month preceeding the			
	month in which the payment will be actually made to			
	the applicant.			
	In view of our above findings, the respondent			
	no. 4, Estate Manager, O.S.D. and Ex-Officio Deputy			
	Secretary to the Government of West Bengal,			
	Department of Urban Development, Kalyani is			

Page No. 4

### **ORDER SHEET** – (Continuation)

Form No.

Sujit Kumar Chatterjee

Vs

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Serial No. and	- <b>530 of 2014</b> Order of the Tribunal	Office action with date
date of order	with signature	and dated signature of
		parties when necessary
1	2	3
	directed to refund an amount of Rs. 82, 046/- to t	the
	applicant along with interest @ 8% per annum on t	the
	said amount of money during the period from M	lay 🛛
	01, 2014 till the last date of the month preceeding t	the
	month in which the payment will be actually made	de,
	within a period of 12 (twelve) weeks from the date	of
	communication of the order. With the above direction	on,
	the original application is <b>disposed of.</b>	
	Let a <b>Plain Copy</b> of the order be supplied to t	the
	applicant.	
	S. K. DAS R. K. BAG	
	MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)	
Csm		
Com		

Page No. 5